

# The Pilot at Sedgefield Tract 3B

Greensboro, North Carolina September 7, 2022 Terracon Project No. 75225059

**Prepared for:** 

Craig Davis Properties Cary, NC



Prepared by: Terracon Consultants, Inc. Greensboro, NC September 7, 2022

Craig Davis Properties 8000 Weston Parkway, Suite 300 Cary, NC 27513



Re: Geotechnical Data Report The Pilot at Sedgefield Tract 3B 5300 High Point Road Greensboro, North Carolina Terracon Project No. 75225059

Dear Mr. Jonczyk:

We have completed Geotechnical Data Reporting services for the above-referenced project. This study was performed in general accordance with Terracon Proposal No. P75225059 dated April 1, 2022. This report presents the findings of the subsurface exploration and provides geotechnical recommendations as described in the above-referenced proposal for the project.

We appreciate the opportunity to be of service to you on this project. If you have any questions concerning this report or if we may be of further service, please contact us.

Sincerely, Terracon Consultants, Inc.

Mitch D. Crayton, P.E. Project Engineer

Terracon Consultants, Inc.

Joseph N. Link, Jr., P.E. Geotechnical Department Manager NC PE: No. 041094

Greensboro, North Carolina 27410

terracon.com



7327-G West Friendly Ave

P (336) 854-8135 F (336) 365-7020

### **REPORT TOPICS**

INTRODUCTION	1
SITE CONDITIONS	1
PROJECT DESCRIPTION	2
GEOTECHNICAL CHARACTERIZATION	3
EARTHWORK	4
GENERAL COMMENTS	5

**Note:** This report was originally delivered in a web-based format. **Orange Bold** text in the report indicates a referenced section heading. The PDF version also includes hyperlinks which direct the reader to that section and clicking on the *GeoReport* logo will bring you back to this page. For more interactive features, please view your project online at <u>client.terracon.com</u>.

### **ATTACHMENTS**

### EXPLORATION AND TESTING PROCEDURES PHOTOGRAPHY LOG SITE LOCATION AND EXPLORATION PLANS EXPLORATION RESULTS SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Note: Refer to each individual Attachment for a listing of contents



# **REPORT SUMMARY**

Topic <sup>1</sup>	Overview Statement <sup>2</sup>							
Project Description	<ul> <li>The preliminary site plan indicates a future townhome development</li> <li>The overall site development is preliminary, and the site is currently in due diligence planning</li> <li>The purpose of this report is to provide subsurface data for the due diligence planning</li> <li>The exploration at the locations indicated was completed to evaluate the potential impact of bedrock and difficult excavation on the project during planning in the proposed cut areas</li> </ul>							
Geotechnical Characterization	<ul> <li>Approximately 2 to 4 inches of topsoil was encountered across the site at the ground surface</li> <li>CPT probe refusal (an indication of dense/hard materials) was encountered at three sounding locations at depths ranging between approximately 5½ and 12 feet below the existing ground surface (Elevations 829+/- and 845+/- feet)</li> <li>Existing fat clay fill was encountered at one location to a maximum depth of approximately 2 feet below the existing ground surface (Elevation 838+/- feet)</li> <li>Partially weathered rock was encountered in four boring locations at depths ranging between 6 and 23 feet below existing grades (Elevations 817+/- to 844+/- feet)</li> <li>Materials causing auger refusal were encountered at one boring location at a depth of approximately 9 feet below existing grade (Elevation 839+/- feet)</li> <li>Groundwater was not observed at any exploration locations</li> </ul>							
Earthwork	<ul> <li>Effective site drainage should be established early and maintained throughout construction to minimize delays during construction. This will be particularly important where surface materials consist of moderately plastic silt and clay</li> <li>We do not expect groundwater to impact utility excavation or mass grading operations. Refer to the Earthwork Section for additional details</li> <li>Difficult excavation may be encountered depending on final site grades and during utility construction operation. Refer to the Earthwork Section for additional details</li> <li>Generally, existing site soils can be used re-used for structural fill as recommended in the Earthwork Section</li> </ul>							
General Comments	<ul> <li>This section contains important information about the limitations of this geotechnical engineering report</li> </ul>							
<ol> <li>If the reader is reviewing this report as a pdf, the topics above can be used to access the appropriate section of the report by clicking on the topic itself</li> </ol>								

2. This summary is for convenience only and should be used in conjunction with the entire report for design purposes

The Pilot at Sedgefield Tract 3B 5300 High Point Road Greensboro, North Carolina Terracon Project No. 75225059 September 7, 2022

### INTRODUCTION

This report presents the results of our subsurface exploration and geotechnical engineering services performed for the proposed development to be located at 5300 High Point Road in Greensboro, North Carolina. The purpose of these services is to provide information and comments relating to geotechnical aspects of the project relative to:

- Subsurface soil conditions
- General site suitability

Groundwater conditions

The geotechnical engineering Scope of Services for this project included advancing ten test locations to depths ranging from approximately 5½ to 25 feet below existing site grades.

Maps indicating the site and exploration locations are in the **Site Location and Exploration Plans** Section. The results of the laboratory testing performed on soil samples obtained from the site during the field exploration are included on the exploration logs in the **Exploration Results** Section.

### SITE CONDITIONS

The following description of site conditions is derived from our site visit in association with the field exploration and our review of publicly-available geologic and topographic maps.

Item	Description
Parcel Information	<ul> <li>Located at 5300 High Point Road in Greensboro, North Carolina</li> <li>Parcel is identified by Guilford County PIN 7832882008 and has an area of 43.65 acres but will be reduced according to the preliminary site plan</li> <li>Located at approximately 36.0231° N 79.8846° W</li> <li>See Site Location</li> </ul>
Existing Improvements	<ul> <li>Parcel includes the location of the main JP Building and associated parking areas</li> <li>The site plan provided indicates the existing structure will be outside of the development except for areas of pavement surrounding the existing structure which require demolition prior to site grading efforts</li> </ul>

The Pilot at Sedgefield Tract 3B  Greensboro, North Carolina
September 7, 2022   Terracon Project No. 75225059



Item	Description
Current Ground Cover	<ul> <li>Generally clear with areas of grass and pavement</li> <li>Wooded to the west and north of the proposed site improvements</li> </ul>
Existing Topography	<ul> <li>The site slopes downward generally from northwest to southeast</li> <li>Elevations range from approximately 830 to 870 feet</li> </ul>
Geology	The project site is in the Piedmont Physiographic Province, an area underlain by ancient igneous and metamorphic rocks. The residual soils in this area are the product of in-place chemical weathering of rock. The typical residual soil profile consists of clayey soils near the surface where soil weathering is more advanced, underlain by sandy silts / silty sands that generally become harder / denser with depth to the top of parent bedrock. In residual materials the transition from soil to rock occurs gradually over a vertical distance ranging from a few feet to tens of feet. This transitional zone is termed "partially weathered rock" which is defined for engineering purposes as residual material that can be drilled with soil drilling methods and exhibits standard penetration test values above 100 blows per foot. According to the 1985 Geologic Map of North Carolina, the bedrock under the site is Granite rock from the Paleozoic period.

We collected photographs at the time of our field services program. Representative photos are provided in our **Photography Log**.

### **PROJECT DESCRIPTION**

Our initial understanding of the project was provided in our proposal and was discussed during project planning. A period of collaboration has transpired since the project was initiated, and our final understanding of the project conditions is as follows:

ltem	Description
Information Provided	<ul> <li>Project information was provided to Terracon via email and phone conversations with Craig Davis Properties Personnel</li> <li>Information was utilized from a previous Terracon Proposal dated May 3, 2021, Terracon Proposal No. P75215038</li> <li>A preliminary plan was provided in email correspondence on May 27, 2022. The preliminary site plan was dated May 17, 2022.</li> </ul>

The Pilot at Sedgefield Tract 3B 
Greensboro, North Carolina September 7, 2022 
Terracon Project No. 75225059



Item	Description
Project Description	<ul> <li>The preliminary site plan indicates a future townhome development</li> <li>The overall site development is preliminary, and the site is currently in due diligence planning</li> <li>The purpose of this proposed report is to provide subsurface data for the due diligence planning</li> <li>We understand the objective for performing the exploration at the locations indicated is to help determine the impact of bedrock and difficult excavation on the project during planning in the proposed cut areas</li> </ul>

### **GEOTECHNICAL CHARACTERIZATION**

We have developed a general characterization of the subsurface conditions based upon our review of the subsurface exploration, laboratory data, geologic setting and our understanding of the project. This characterization, termed GeoModel, forms the basis of our evaluation of the site being developed. Conditions encountered at each exploration point are indicated on the individual logs. The individual logs can be found in the **Exploration Results** Section and the GeoModel can be found in the **Figures** Section of this report.

As part of our evaluation, we identified the following model layers within the subsurface profile. For a more detailed view of the model layer depths at each exploration location, refer to the GeoModel.

Model Layer	Layer Name	General Description
1	Surface Material	Topsoil, Asphalt, Concrete, and/or Crushed Stone
2	Moderate to High Plasticity Fill	Undocumented fill material consisting of fat clay
3	Moderate to High Plasticity Residual Soils	Residual soils consisting of zones of medium stiff to stiff elastic silt and lean clay with varying amounts of sand
4	Low Plasticity Residual Soils	Residual soils consisting of zones of medium stiff to very stiff silt with varying amounts of sand and loose to very dense silty sand
5	Partially Weathered Rock	Partially weathered rock is defined for engineering purposes as any residual material exhibiting a Standard Penetration Resistance above 100 blows within 1 foot of split-spoon sampling



#### **Groundwater Conditions**

We observed each exploration location for the presence and level of groundwater during and/or after completion. The water levels observed at each location can be found on the logs in **Exploration Results**.

Groundwater was not encountered in any exploration location; however, groundwater level fluctuations can occur due to seasonal variations in the amount of rainfall, runoff, and other factors not evident at the time of our exploration. Therefore, groundwater levels during construction or at other times may be higher or lower than the levels indicated on the logs. The possibility of groundwater level fluctuations should be considered.

### Boring and Sounding Refusal Observations

CPT probe tip and/or friction refusal was encountered at Sounding B-02, B-04, and B-09 at approximate depths ranging between 5½ feet and 12½ feet below the ground surface (elevations between 828+/- and 845+/- feet). CPT refusal does not necessarily indicate non-rippable material. SPT auger and/or sampler refusal was encountered Borings B-01, B-9a, and B-10 at approximate depths ranging between 9 feet and 24 feet below the ground surface (elevations between 815+/- and 839+/- feet). SPT auger and/or sampler refusal generally indicates non-rippable material is present. The data gathered indicates that difficult excavation may be possible depending on final site grades and utility elevations which were not available at the time of this report.

### EARTHWORK

Earthwork is expected to include pavement demolition, clearing and grubbing, excavations, and fill placement. The following section provides recommendations for Homeowner-Association-owned areas only.

#### Site Preparation

Existing pavement, vegetation, and root mat should be removed prior to placing fill. Topsoil, ranging between 2 and 4 inches thick, should be completely stripped in the proposed construction areas. Any utilities associated with the previous development should be removed. We recommend leaving crushed stone base material in place after removing the asphalt surface to improve conditions at the working grade during earthwork.

Proposed site grading was not available at the time of this report. The refusal information as noted in the **Geotechnical Characterization** Section above should be used to determine difficult excavation impacts once site grading has been determined.



Generally, existing material can be used as fill for site balancing efforts as determined by the Geotechnical Engineer of Record at the time of construction or in subsequent reporting.

### **GENERAL COMMENTS**

Our comments are based on our understanding of the project, the geotechnical conditions in the area, and the data obtained from our site exploration. Natural variations will occur between exploration point locations or due to the modifying effects of construction or weather. The nature and extent of such variations may not become evident until during or after construction.

Our Scope of Services does not include either specifically or by implication any environmental or biological (e.g., mold, fungi, bacteria) assessment of the site or identification or prevention of pollutants, hazardous materials, or conditions. If the Owner is concerned about the potential for such contamination or pollution, other studies should be undertaken.

Our services and any correspondence or collaboration are intended for the sole benefit and exclusive use of our Client for specific application to the project discussed and are accomplished in accordance with generally-accepted geotechnical practices with no third-party beneficiaries intended. Any third-party access to services or correspondence is solely for information purposes to support the services provided by Terracon to our Client. Reliance on the services and any work product is limited to our Client and is not intended for third parties. Any use or reliance of the provided information by third parties is done solely at their own risk. No warranties, either express or implied, are intended or made.

Site characteristics as provided are for site consideration and not to estimate excavation cost. Any use of our report in that regard is done at the sole risk of the excavating cost estimator as there may be variations on the site that are not apparent in the data that could significantly impact excavation cost. Any parties charged with estimating excavation costs should seek their own site characterization for specific purposes to obtain the specific level of detail necessary for costing. Site safety, and cost estimating including, excavation support, and dewatering requirements/design are the responsibility of others. If changes in the nature, design, or location of the project are planned, our conclusions and recommendations shall not be considered valid unless we review the changes and either verify or modify our conclusions in writing. ATTACHMENTS

Responsive Resourceful Reliable



### **EXPLORATION AND TESTING PROCEDURES**

#### **Field Exploration**

Location Designation	Exploration Depth (feet)	Location			
B-01 through B-10	5% to 25 or auger refusal	HOA-Owned Roads/Stormwater Ponds			

**Exploration Location Layout and Elevations:** Terracon personnel selected the exploration locations and provided the layout. Coordinates were obtained with a handheld GPS unit (estimated horizontal accuracy of about  $\pm 3$  feet) and approximate elevations were obtained by interpolation from the Guilford County GIS website. If elevations and a more precise layout are desired, we recommend surveying the exploration locations following completion of fieldwork.

**Subsurface Exploration Procedures:** We advanced the borings with a track-mounted rotary drill rig using hollow-stem, continuous flight augers. Four samples were obtained in the upper 10 feet of each boring and at intervals of 5 feet thereafter. In the split-barrel sampling procedure, a standard 2-inch outer diameter split-barrel sampling spoon was driven into the ground by a 140-pound automatic hammer falling a distance of 30 inches. The number of blows required to advance the sampling spoon the middle 12 inches of a normal 24-inch penetration is recorded as the Standard Penetration Test (SPT) resistance value. The SPT resistance values, also referred to as N-values, are indicated on the boring logs at the test depths. We observed and recorded groundwater levels during drilling and sampling. For safety purposes, all borings were backfilled with auger cuttings after their completion. Pavements were patched with cold-mix asphalt and/or pre-mixed concrete, as appropriate.

We advanced soundings with track-mounted equipment in general accordance with ASTM D5778 "Standard Test Method for Performing Electronic Friction Cone and Piezocone Penetration Testing of Soils." The CPT hydraulically pushes an instrumented cone through the soil while nearly continuous readings are recorded to a portable laptop. The cone is equipped with electronic load cells to measure tip resistance and sleeve resistance and a pressure transducer to measure the generated ambient pore pressure. The face of the cone has an apex angle of 60 degrees and an area of 10 square centimeters. Digital data representing the tip resistance, friction resistance, pore water pressure, and probe inclination angle are recorded about every 2 centimeters while advancing through the ground at a rate between 1½ and 2½ centimeters per second. These measurements are correlated to various soil properties used for geotechnical design. No soil samples are gathered through this subsurface investigation technique.





A macrocore sampler was used to collect select soil samples in 5-foot intervals where CPTs were conducted. We observed and recorded groundwater levels during drilling and sampling. We used correlative soil parameters from computations using the in-situ measurements in addition to the data included on the CPT sounding logs. We can provide these parameters for others upon request.

The sampling depths, penetration distances, and other sampling information was recorded on the field exploration logs. The samples were placed in appropriate containers and taken to our soil laboratory for testing and classification by our Geotechnical Department Staff. Our exploration team prepared field logs as part of the drilling operations. These field logs included visual classifications of the materials encountered during drilling and our interpretation of the subsurface conditions between samples. Final exploration logs were prepared from the field logs. The final logs represent the Geotechnical Engineer's interpretation of the field logs and include modifications based on observations and tests of the samples in our laboratory.

### Laboratory Testing

The project engineer reviewed the field data and assigned laboratory tests to understand the general properties of the various soil strata, as necessary, for this project. Soil testing for this project was selected and performed in generally accordance with the guidelines established based on our understanding of the standards below.

- ASTM D2216 Standard Test Methods for Laboratory Determination of Water (Moisture) Content of Soil and Rock by Mass
- ASTM D4318 Standard Test Methods for Liquid Limit, Plastic Limit, and Plasticity Index of Soils
- ASTM D1140 Standard Test Methods for Determining the Amount of Material Finer than 75-µm (No. 200) Sieve in Soils by Washing

The laboratory testing program often included examination of soil samples by an engineer. Based on the material's texture and plasticity, we described and classified the soil samples in accordance with the Unified Soil Classification System.



### PHOTOGRAPHY LOG



The Pilot at Sedgefield Tract 3B - Greensboro, North Carolina September 7, 2022 
Terracon Project No. 75225059





Near Location B-07 facing northeast

### SITE LOCATION AND EXPLORATION PLANS

### Contents:

Site Location Plan Exploration Plan

Note: All attachments are one page unless noted above

#### SITE LOCATION

The Pilot at Sedgefield Tract 3B 
Greensboro, North Carolina September 7, 2022 
Terracon Project No. 75225059





#### **EXPLORATION PLAN**

The Pilot at Sedgefield Tract 3B 
Greensboro, North Carolina September 7, 2022 
Terracon Project No. 75225059





# FIGURES

### **Contents:**

GeoModel

#### **GEOMODEL**

Pilot at Sedgefield Tract 3B **E** Greensboro, NC Terracon Project No. 75225059





**LEGEND** 

Silt

Soils

Low Plasticity Residual

Soils

**Partially Weathered** 

Rock

4

5

Silty Sand

Elastic Silt

Weathered Rock

Topsoil

Sandy Silt

🔊 Fill

Asphalt

Aggregate Base Course

sampling

Lean Clay

Residual soils consisting of zones of medium stiff to very stiff

Pantially weathered rock is defined for engineering purposes as any residual material exhibiting a Standard Penetration Resistance above 100 blows within 1 foot of split-spoon

silt with varying amounts of sand and loose to very dense silty

Elastic Silt with Sand

NOTES:

Layering shown on this figure has been developed by the geotechnical engineer for purposes of modeling the subsurface conditions as required for the subsequent geotechnical engineering for this project.

Numbers adjacent to soil column indicate depth below ground surface.

## **EXPLORATION RESULTS**

#### **Contents:**

Boring Logs (B-01 through B-10)

Note: All attachments are one page unless noted above

	BORING LOG NO. B-01 Page 1 of 1										
P	ROJ	ECT: Pilot at Sedgefield Tract 3B		CLIENT: Craig	Davis	Prop	ertie	s			
S	SITE:	Alamance Road Greensboro, NC		Cary,	NC						
MODEL LAYER	GRAPHIC LOG	LOCATION See Exploration Plan Latitude: 36.023640° Longitude: -79.884540°	Арргох	imate Surface Elev.: 840 (Ft.	:.) +/- DEPTH (Ft.)	WATER LEVEL	OBSERVATIONS SAMPLE TYPE	FIELD TEST	RESULTS	WATER CONTENT (%)	Atterberg Limits
1 2		2.5     TOPSOIL, 6"       FILL - FAT CLAY WITH SAND, brown with       2.0	n some orange and gr	ay, moist	838+/-	_		2-2 N	-2-3 =4	26.5	
		<u>RESIDUAL - SANDY SILT (ML)</u> , tan, brow stiff	n, and white, moist			_		3-6 N=	-8-9 =14	21.6	
					5	_		5-5- N=	-7-10 =12	22.0	
		greenish brown with some orange, very stif	f			_		4-7- <sup>-</sup> N=	13-19 =20		
4					1(	)— 	×				
		<u>SILTY SAND (SM)</u> , fine to coarse grained, very dense	dark brown with som	e green, moist,	<u>827+/-</u> 1	- - 5-		15-20 N=	-26-56 =46		
						_		15-4	41-70		
					20			N=	111		
				D fine to secree	817+/-					-	
5	<u>ل</u> کک	24.2 grained, dark brown, moist Auger Refusal at 24.17 Feet	LED AS SILIT SAN	<u>u</u> , fine to coarse 81:	5.8+/-	_	×	21-48	3-50/2"		
_	Stratification lines are approximate. In-situ, the transition may be gradual. Hammer Type: Automatic										
Adv	anceme	nt Method:	See Exploration and Test	ing Procedures for a	Notes:						
F	ISA		description of field and la and additional data (If any	boratory procedures used /).							
Aba E	Indonme Boring ba	nt Method: cckfilled with auger cuttings upon completion.	symbols and abbreviation	is. ted from the Guilford Co.							
		WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS			Boring Star	ted: 06-0	06-2022	в	Boring Comp	oleted: 06	6-06-2022
	No	groundwater encountered	llerr	acon	Drill Ria: Ge	eoprobe	3126GT		Driller: C. Sto	orm	
	L Ca	ive-in = 10.3'	7327 W Frier Greens	ndly Ave Ste G boro, NC	Project No.:	752250	)59				

THIS BORING LOG IS NOT VALID IF SEPARATED FROM ORIGINAL REPORT. GEO SMART LOG-NO WELL 75225059 PILOT AT SEDGEFIE. GPJ TERRACON\_DATATEMPLATE. GDT 9/7/22



	BORING LOG NO. MC-02 Page 1 of 1									
P	ROJ	ECT: Pilot at Sedgefield Tract 3B		CLIENT: Craig Da	vis Pr	operti	es			
S	TE:	Alamance Road Greensboro, NC		Cary, NC						
MODEL LAYER	GRAPHIC LOG	LOCATION See Exploration Plan Latitude: 36.022920° Longitude: -79.885490°	Approx	' imate Surface Elev.: 850 (Ft.) +/-	DEPTH (Ft.)	NATER LEVEL BSERVATIONS		FIELD TEST RESULTS	WATER CONTENT (%)	ATTERBERG LIMITS LL-PL-PI
1	<u>71 /2</u> 7	DEPTH 0.5 <b>TOPSOIL</b> , 6"		ELEVATION (Ft.) 849.5+	/-	-00				
3		<b>RESIDUAL - ELASTIC SILT (MH)</b> , gray an moist brown, gray, orange with some white and b	d brown with some bl lack	lack and orange,		-			19.7	36-17-19
4		10.0 <u>SANDY SILT (ML)</u> , contains rock fragment white and green, moist	s, gray, tan, orange, l	840+ brown with some	- - - - - - -	-	-			
		Boring Terminated at 15 Feet			15-					
	Str	atification lines are approximate. In-situ, the transition may be	e gradual.	Ha	ammer Typ	be: Percus	sion			
Adva Ma Abar Lc	nceme acrocor idonme cation	nt Method: e DT22 int Method: backfilled with bentonite chips upon completion	See Exploration and Test description of field and la and additional data (If any See Supporting Informatii symbols and abbreviation Elevations were interpola GIS	ing Procedures for a boratory procedures used y). on for explanation of is.	ies:					
	Nc	groundwater encountered	The	Borir	ng Started:	06-03-20	22	Boring Com	pleted: 0	6-03-2022
	A1-		7327 W Frier	Drill	Rig: Geop	robe 3126	GT	Driller: C. St	orm	

THIS BORING LOG IS NOT VALID IF SEPARATED FROM ORIGINAL REPORT. GEO SMART LOG-NO WELL 75226059 PILOT AT SEDGEFIE. GPJ TERRACON\_DATATEMPLATE. GDT 9/7/22





		В	ORING LO	G NO. B-04	а					Page	1 of 1
Р	ROJ	ECT: Pilot at Sedgefield Tract 3B		CLIENT: Craig E	Davis	Pro	pertie	es			
S	ITE:	Alamance Road Greensboro, NC		Cary, I							
MODEL LAYER	GRAPHIC LOG	LOCATION See Exploration Plan Latitude: 36.023330° Longitude: -79.886450°	Approxi	mate Surface Elev.: 850 (Ft.)	) +/-	UEP IH (Ft.) WATEP I EVEI	SAMPLE TYPE		RESULTS	WATER CONTENT (%)	Atterberg Limits LL-PL-Pi
1		ASPHALT, 2" 0.2. <u>ASPHALT</u> , 2" 0.7. <u>CRUSHED STONE</u> , 6" <u>RESIDUAL - SILTY SAND (SM)</u> , fine to co dry medium dense, contains rock fragment	arse grained, tan, whi s	te, and brown,	(+t.) 9.8+/~ 9.3+/~	_		4-12 N	-10-14 =22		
4		dense	-					19-2 <sup>-</sup> N	1-25-22 =46	-	
		6.0 PARTIALLY WEATHERED ROCK - SAMP	LED AS SILTY SAN	84 D, fine to coarse	44+/-	5 —		16-24 N	4-43-46 =67	-	
5		grained, tan, white, and brown, dry 8.0 <u>RESIDUAL - SILTY SAND (SM)</u> , fine to coa	arse grained, tan, whi	te, and brown,	<u>42+/-</u>	_		28-6	8-50/3"	-	
4		dry, very dense, contains rock fragments		84	40+/- 1	_	X	38-42 N	2-25-33 =67		
			- gradual				Automo				
Art	Str	aunication lines are approximate. In-situ, the transition may be	e graduai.		Hamme	r iype:	Automa	uC			
Adva H Aba B	anceme SA ndonme oring ba	nt Method: nt Method: ckfilled with auger cuttings upon completion.	See Exploration and Testi description of field and lat and additional data (If any See Supporting Informatio symbols and abbreviation Elevations were interpolat	ng Procedures for a coratory procedures used ). on for explanation of s. wed from the Guilford Co.	Notes:						
E	N / -	WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS		В	Boring Sta	irted: 06	6-02-202	2	Boring Com	oleted: 06	6-02-2022
	INC	groundwater encountered	7327 W Frien	<b>JCON</b> dly Ave Ste G	Drill Rig: G	Geoprob	e 3126G	т	Driller: C. St	orm	
黢	L Ca	ve-in = 3'	Greenst	poro, NC P	Project No	.: 7522	5059				

THIS BORING LOG IS NOT VALID IF SEPARATED FROM ORIGINAL REPORT. GEO SMART LOG-NO WELL 75226059 PILOT AT SEDGEFIE. GPJ TERRACON\_DATATEMPLATE. GDT 9/7/22

			E	BORING LO	DG NO. B-0	5						Page	1 of 1
PI	RC	)J	ECT: Pilot at Sedgefield Tract 3B		CLIENT: Craig	Dav	vis Pro	ope	rties	S			
SI	TE	Ξ:	Alamance Road Greensboro, NC			NC							
MODEL LAYER	<b>GRAPHIC LOG</b>		LOCATION See Exploration Plan Latitude: 36.023730° Longitude: -79.885910° DEPTH	Аррго	timate Surface Elev.: 862 (F ELEVATION	īt.) +/- N (Ft.)	DEPTH (Ft.)	WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS	SAMPLE TYPE	FIELD TEST	RESULTS	WATER CONTENT (%)	Atterberg Limits
4	<u>, 1</u> ,		0.3 <u>TOPSOIL</u> , 4" <u>RESIDUAL - SANDY SILT (ML)</u> , red, brown 2.0 ELASTIC SILT (MH) red moist medium si	n, and tan, moist, me	dium stiff	61.7+/-	_		X	2-3 N	-4-5 =7	20.6	
3			ELASTIC SILT (IMIT), red, molst, medium si	un			_			2-3- N:	-5-6 =8	43.1	84-44-40
			7.0			855+/-	5 — 			2-2- N:	-4-5 =6	44.0	
			SILT (ML), red with some black, moist, mee	lium stiff			_			2-2- N	-3-4 =5	46.5	
							10— _ _	22363					
4			red, some black and orange				 15 -		X	3-3- N:	-5-7 =8	-	
			brownish tan and black, stiff 20.0			842+/-				5-5- N=	7-11 =12	_	
			Boring Terminated at 20 Feet				20						
	I     I     I     I     I       Stratification lines are approximate. In-situ, the transition may be gradual.     Hammer Type: Automatic												
Adva HS Aban Bc	Advancement Method:       See Exploration and Testing Procedures for a description of field and laboratory procedures used and additional data (If any).       Notes:         Abandonment Method:       See Supporting Information for explanation of symbols and abbreviations.       See Supporting Information of symbols and abbreviations.												
		• '	WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS	GIS		Boring	Started:	06-06-	-2022	в	oring Com	pleted: 0	6-06-2022
	No groundwater encountered			lierr	acon	Drill Rig: Geoprobe 3126GT Driller: C. Storm			orm				
1955A	7327				ndly Ave Ste G boro, NC	Project No.: 75225059							

THIS BORING LOG IS NOT VALID IF SEPARATED FROM ORIGINAL REPORT. GEO SMART LOG-NO WELL 75226059 PILOT AT SEDGEFIE. GPJ TERRACON\_DATATEMPLATE. GDT 9/7/22

	BORING LOG NO. B-06 Page 1 of 1								1 of 1		
	Ρ	ROJ	ECT: Pilot at Sedgefield Tract 3B	CLIENT: Craig D Carv, N	avis Pr	oper	ties				
	S	ITE:	Alamance Road Greensboro, NC								
	MODEL LAYER	GRAPHIC LOG	LOCATION See Exploration Plan Latitude: 36.023880° Longitude: -79.885140°	pproximate Surface Elev.: 860 (Ft.) -	Ct -/+ DEPTH (Ft.)	WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS	SAMPLE TYPE	FIELD TEST RESULTS	WATER CONTENT (%)	Atterberg Limits	
	1		ASPHALT, 2" A <u>CRUSHED STONE</u> , 3" <u>CRUSHUAL - SILTY SAND (SM)</u> , fine to coarse grained, tar <u>GRESIDUAL - SILTY SAND (SM)</u> , fine to coarse grained, tar	, brown, with some	-t.) 8±/- 6±/- -	-	$\left  \right $	2-6-7-7 N=13			
DT 9/7/22					-	-		6-8-20-16 N=28	3-20-16 N=28		
TATEMPLATE.GD			dark brown, dense				1	10-15-17-17 N=32			
TERRACON_DAI	4		gray, tan, brown, contains rock fragments		-	-		8-14-28-38 N=42	-		
SEDGEFIE.GPJ					10-	-					
5059 PILOT AT 8			dark brown, very dense 15.0	845	5+/- <b>1 -</b>	-	4	15-64-28-38 N=92			
WELL 7522			Boring Terminated at 15 Feet		15						
ART LOG-NO											
ORT. GEO SM											
ORIGINAL REP											
ATED FROM		Str	atification lines are approximate. In-situ, the transition may be gradual.		Hammer Tvr	De: Auto	omatic				
SEPAR.					,						
- VALID IF S	Adva H	inceme SA	nt Method: See Exploration an description of field and additional data	I Testing Procedures for a and laboratory procedures used (If any).	Notes:						
OG IS NOT	Abar Bo	ndonme oring ba	Int Method: cckfilled with auger cuttings upon completion. Elevations were int GIS	riations.							
INGL		No	groundwater encountered		oring Started:	06-06-2	2022	Boring Com	Boring Completed: 06-06-2022		
S BOR					ill Rig: Geop	robe 31	26GT	Driller: C. St	torm		
ΞË.	数必	_ Ca	7327 V ve-in = 7'	ritenaly Ave Ste G eensboro, NC Pro	oject No.: 75	225059					

	BORING LOG NO. B-07 Page 1 of 1										
	Ρ	ROJ	ECT: Pilot at Sedgefield Tract 3B		CLIENT: Craig Da Cary, NC	ivis Pr	ope	rtie	6		
	S	ITE:	Alamance Road Greensboro, NC								
	MODEL LAYER	GRAPHIC LOG	LOCATION See Exploration Plan Latitude: 36.023110° Longitude: -79.884280°	Approx	imate Surface Elev.: 846 (Ft.) +/-	DEPTH (Ft.)	WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS	SAMPLE TYPE	FIELD TEST RESULTS	WATER CONTENT (%)	Atterberg Limits
	1		ASPHALT, 2" ASPHALT, 2" CRUSHED STONE, 6" RESIDUAL - SANDY SILT (ML), tan, moist,	hard	ELEVATION (Ft.) 845.8+ 845.3+ 845.3+		1235G		9-13-24-21 N=37	8.1	
DT 9/7/22			SILTY SAND (SM), fine to coarse grained, ta	an, brown, moist, de	nse	<u>-</u>	-		7-12-18-20 N=30	17.3	
ATEMPLATE.GD	4		dry, very dense			5	-		15-16-35-91 N=51	9.8	
ERRACON_DAT			9.5		836.5+		-	X	16-31-58-107 N=89	-	
AT SEDGEFIE.GPJ											
75225059 PILOT /											
. LOG-NO WELL											
RT. GEO SMART											
1 ORIGINAL REPO											
RATED FRON		Stra	atification lines are approximate. In-situ, the transition may be	gradual.	Ha	ammer Typ	e: Aut	omatio	c		
LID IF SEPA	Advancement Method: HSA		See Exploration and Test description of field and lai	ing Procedures for a Not boratory procedures used	tes:						
IS NOT VAL	Abar Bo	ndonme oring ba	nt Method: ckfilled with auger cuttings upon completion.	See Supporting Information symbols and abbreviation	on for explanation of Is.						
DO1			WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS	GIS		on Otanta d	00.00	2022	Darte Co	alate 2 A	e 06 0000
BORING		No	groundwater encountered	llerr	Prince Started: 06-06-2022 Boring Com Drill Rig: Geoprobe 3126GT Driller: C. S'			orm	0-00-2022		
THIS I	2338. Cave-in = 1.5'			7327 W Frier Greensl	ndly Ave Ste G boro, NC Proje	ect No.: 75	22505	9			

			В	ORING LO	OG NO. B-08					Page	1 of 1
Р	RC	))	ECT: Pilot at Sedgefield Tract 3B		CLIENT: Craig Da	avis Pr	ope	rties	S		
S	ITE	:	Alamance Road Greensboro, NC								
MODEL LAYER	GRAPHICLOG		LOCATION See Exploration Plan Latitude: 36.022390° Longitude: -79.883910°	Арргох	imate Surface Elev.: 846 (Ft.) +/	DEPTH (Ft.)	WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS	SAMPLE TYPE	FIELD TEST RESULTS	WATER CONTENT (%)	ATTERBERG LIMITS
1 3	Ì		2.0 CRUSHED STONE, 2" CRUSHED STONE, 2" 2.0 RESIDUAL - ELASTIC SILT (MH), red with	some orange, moist	, medium stiff844-	+/- +/-		X	2-2-3-6 N=5		
4			<u>SILI (ML)</u> , red with some orange, moist, me	aium sun		-		X	3-4-4-4 N=8		
			7.0		839-	5 — 			2-3-3-4 N=6		
3			LEAN CLAY (CL), tan with some black, moi	st, medium stiff	836.5-	+/			2-3-3-5 N=6		
			tification lines as approximate. In situ, the transition may be								
Arthur		Stra	atification lines are approximate. In-situ, the transition may be	gradual.		lammer Typ	e: Aut	omati	C		
Adva H Abai B		imer imei j bai	nt Method:	See Exploration and Test description of field and la and additional data (If any See Supporting Informatii symbols and abbreviation Elevations were interpola GIS	ing Procedures for a No boratory procedures used y). on for explanation of is. ted from the Guilford Co.	JIES:					
		No	groundwater encountered	Bori	Boring Started: 06-06-2022 Boring Completed: 06-06-20				6-06-2022		
		No	Cave-in	7327 W Frier Greens	Drill Drill Drill Drill Proi	I Rig: Geopr	obe 31	26GT	Driller:	C. Storm	

THIS BORING LOG IS NOT VALID IF SEPARATED FROM ORIGINAL REPORT. GEO SMART LOG-NO WELL 75225059 PILOT AT SEDGEFIE. GPJ TERRACON\_DATATEMPLATE. GDT 9/7/22



			В	ORING LOG NO. B-09a						Page	1 of 1
	Ρ	ROJ	ECT: Pilot at Sedgefield Tract 3B		CLIENT: Craig Day	vis Pr	ope	rties	6	-	
	S	TE:	Alamance Road Greensboro, NC		Cary, NO						
	MODEL LAYER	GRAPHIC LOG	LOCATION See Exploration Plan Latitude: 36.021740° Longitude: -79.883550°	Approxi	mate Surface Elev.: 838 (Ft.) +/-	DEPTH (Ft.)	WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS	SAMPLE TYPE	FIELD TEST RESULTS	WATER CONTENT (%)	ATTERBERG LIMITS LL-PL-PI
	1	<u>x, 1</u> x <u>(</u>	0.5 <u>TOPSOIL</u> , 6" <u>RESIDUAL - ELASTIC SILT (MH)</u> , red with	n some black, medium	ELEVATION (Ft.) 837.5+/- n stiff	-	-		3-4-4-6 N=8		
	-		2.0 ELASTIC SILT WITH SAND (MH), red and	l brown, stiff	836+/-		-				
DT 9/7/22						-	- 128563	X	4-5-5-18 N=10	31.5	64-28-36
TEMPLATE.G	3		red with some orange, medium stiff			5 -		X	2-3-4-5 N=7		
ERRACON_DATA			stiff, contains rock fragments			-			2-2-9-32 N=11		
GPJ TI	5	Ļ	10.0 10.1 PARTIALLY WEATHERED ROCK - SAMP	PLED AS SILTY SAN	<u></u>	10-		$\geq$	50/2"		
ID IF SEPARATED FROM ORIGINAL REPORT. GEO SMART LOG-NO WELL 75226059 PILOT AT SEDGE	Adva	Str nceme 3A	atification lines are approximate. In-situ, the transition may be	e gradual. See Exploration and Testi description of field and lat	Hang Procedures for a Note	mmer Typ	De: Aut	tomatid	2		
G IS NOT VALI	Abar Bo	donme bring ba	nt Method: ckfilled with auger cuttings upon completion.	and additional data (If any See Supporting Informatic symbols and abbreviation Elevations were interpolat	). on for explanation of s. ed from the Guilford Co.						
NG LO		Na	WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS groundwater encountered		Borin	Boring Started: 06-06-2022 Boring Completed: 06-06-				6-06-2022	
S BORI						Rig: Geopr	robe 31	126GT	Driller: C.	Storm	
Ĩ	数必	. Ca	ve-in = 3.7'	Greensb	poro, NC Proje	ct No.: 75	225059	9			

		I	BORING LO	DG NO. B-10					Page	1 of 1
Р	ROJ	ECT: Pilot at Sedgefield Tract 3B		CLIENT: Craig D	avis Pr	ope	rties	S		
s	ITE:	Alamance Road Greensboro, NC			C					
MODEL LAYER	GRAPHIC LOG	LOCATION See Exploration Plan Latitude: 36.024380° Longitude: -79.884780°	Approx	' simate Surface Elev.: 848 (Ft.) +	DEPTH (Ft.)	WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS	SAMPLE TYPE	FIELD TEST RESULTS	WATER CONTENT (%)	ATTERBERG LIMITS LL-PL-PI
1	<u>. : <u>»</u>, <u>»</u>.</u>	0.5 <b>TOPSOIL</b> , 6"		ELEVATION (H	t.) 5+/-		$\backslash$	2222		
		<u>RESIDUAL - SILTY SAND (SM)</u> , fine to co black, moist, loose	oarse grained, tan, bro	own, with some	-	-	$\wedge$	2-3-3-2 N=6	32.4	
4		white brown, some orange and black, medi	um dense		-	125563		5-12-16-13 N=28	16.4	
		tan, brown, some black and orange 7.0		841	5	-		9-14-14-24 N=28	13.9	
5		PARTIALLY WEATHERED ROCK - SAMI grained, tan, gray, brown, some red, orang 8.8	PLED AS SILTY SAN e and black, moist	<u>ID</u> , fine to coarse 839.3			X	9-33-75/3"		
	Str	atification lines are approximate. In-situ, the transition may b	e gradual.	ŀ	Hammer Typ	e: Aut	omatio	0		
Adv: H Aba	dvancement Method: HSA See Exploration and Testing Procedures for a description of field and laboratory procedures used and additional data (If any). See Supporting Information for explanation of symbols and abbreviations.									
В	oring ba	cktilled with auger cuttings upon completion.	Elevations were interpola	ated from the Guilford Co.						
⊢	WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS         Box           No groundwater encountered         Box					Boring Started: 06-06-2022 Boring Completed: 06				6-06-2022
					ill Rig: Geopi	obe 31	26GT	Driller: C.	Storm	
<b>193</b>	L Ca	ve-in = 3'	7327 W Friel Greens	boro, NC Pro	oject No.: 75	225059	)			

THIS BORING LOG IS NOT VALID IF SEPARATED FROM ORIGINAL REPORT. GEO SMART LOG-NO WELL 75225059 PILOT AT SEDGEFIE. GPJ TERRACON\_DATATEMPLATE. GDT 9/7/22

### SUPPORTING INFORMATION

#### **Contents:**

General Notes CPT General Notes Unified Soil Classification System

Note: All attachments are one page unless noted above

#### **GENERAL NOTES** DESCRIPTION OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS



SAMPL	LING	WATER LEVEL	FIELD TESTS			
$\square$	$\square$	_─_ Water Initially Encountered	N	Standard Penetration Test Resistance (Blows/Ft.)		
Auger	Split Spoon	Water Level After a Specified Period of Time	(HP)	Hand Penetrometer		
		▲ Water Level After a Specified Period of Time	(T)	Torvane		
Shelby Tube	Macro Core	Water levels indicated on the soil boring logs are	(DCP)	Dynamic Cone Penetrometer		
		indicated. Groundwater level variations will occur over time. In low permeability soils,	UC	Unconfined Compressive Strength		
Ring Sampler		accurate determination of groundwater levels is not possible with short term water level observations.	(PID)	Photo-Ionization Detector		
Grab Sample	No Recovery		(OVA)	Organic Vapor Analyzer		

#### DESCRIPTIVE SOIL CLASSIFICATION

Soil classification is based on the Unified Soil Classification System. Coarse Grained Soils have more than 50% of their dry weight retained on a #200 sieve; their principal descriptors are: boulders, cobbles, gravel or sand. Fine Grained Soils have less than 50% of their dry weight retained on a #200 sieve; they are principally described as clays if they are plastic, and silts if they are slightly plastic or non-plastic. Major constituents may be added as modifiers and minor constituents may be added according to the relative proportions based on grain size. In addition to gradation, coarse-grained soils are defined on the basis of their in-place relative density and fine-grained soils on the basis of their consistency.

#### LOCATION AND ELEVATION NOTES

Unless otherwise noted, Latitude and Longitude are approximately determined using a hand-held GPS device. The accuracy of such devices is variable. Surface elevation data annotated with +/- indicates that no actual topographical survey was conducted to confirm the surface elevation. Instead, the surface elevation was approximately determined from topographic maps of the area.

	STRENGTH TERMS										
RELATIVE DENSITY	OF COARSE-GRAINED SOILS	CONSISTENCY OF FINE-GRAINED SOILS									
(More than 50%) Density determined by	retained on No. 200 sieve.) Standard Penetration Resistance	(50% or more passing the No. 200 sieve.) Consistency determined by laboratory shear strength testing, field visual-manual procedures or standard penetration resistance									
Descriptive Term (Density)	Standard Penetration or N-Value Blows/Ft.	Descriptive Term (Consistency)	Standard Penetration or N-Value Blows/Ft.								
Very Loose	Very Loose 0 - 3		less than 0.25	0 - 1							
Loose	4 - 9	Soft	0.25 to 0.50	2 - 4							
Medium Dense	10 - 29	Medium Stiff	0.50 to 1.00	5 - 8							
Dense	30 - 50	Stiff	1.00 to 2.00	9 - 15							
Very Dense > 50		Very Stiff	2.00 to 4.00	16 - 30							
		Hard	> 4.00	> 30							

RELATIVE PROPORTION	S OF SAND AND GRAVEL	RELATIVE PROPORTIONS OF FINES					
Descriptive Term(s) of other constituents	Percent of Dry Weight	Descriptive Term(s) of other constituents	Percent of Dry Weight				
Trace	<15	Trace	<5				
With	15-29	With	5-12				
Modifier	>30	Modifier	>12				
GRAIN SIZE T	ERMINOLOGY	PLASTICITY I	DESCRIPTION				
Major Component of Sample	Particle Size	Term	Plasticity Index				
Boulders	Over 12 in. (300 mm)	Non-plastic	0				
Cobbles	12 in. to 3 in. (300mm to 75mm)	Low	1 - 10				
Gravel	3 in. to #4 sieve (75mm to 4.75 mm)	Medium	11 - 30				
Sand	#4 to #200 sieve (4.75mm to 0.075mm	High	> 30				
Silt or Clay	Passing #200 sieve (0.075mm)						

#### CPT GENERAL NOTES DESCRIPTION OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS



#### WATER LEVEL

The groundwater level at the CPT location is used to normalize the measurements for vertical overburden pressures and as a result influences the normalized soil behavior type classification and correlated soil parameters. The water level may either be "measured" or "estimated:" *Measured - Depth to water directly measured in the field* 

Estimated - Depth to water interpolated by the practitioner using pore pressure measurements in coarse grained soils and known site conditions While groundwater levels displayed as "measured" more accurately represent site conditions at the time of testing than those "estimated," in either case the groundwater should be further defined prior to construction as groundwater level variations will occur over time.

#### CONE PENETRATION SOIL BEHAVIOR TYPE

The estimated stratigraphic profiles included in the CPT logs are based on relationships between corrected tip resistance  $(q_t)$ , friction resistance  $(f_s)$ , and porewater pressure  $(u_2)$ . The normalized friction ratio  $(F_t)$  is used to classify the soil behavior type.

Typically, silts and clays have high F<sub>r</sub> values and generate large excess penetration porewater pressures; sands have lower F<sub>r</sub>'s and do not generate excess penetration porewater pressures. The adjacent graph (Robertson *et al.*) presents the soil behavior type correlation used for the logs. This normalized SBT chart, generally considered the most reliable, does not use pore pressure to determine SBT due to its lack of repeatability in onshore CPTs.



llerracon

#### **REFERENCES**

Kulhawy, F.H., Mayne, P.W., (1997). "Manual on Estimating Soil Properties for Foundation Design," Electric Power Research Institute, Palo Alto, CA. Mayne, P.W., (2013). "Geotechnical Site Exploration in the Year 2013," Georgia Institue of Technology, Atlanta, GA. Robertson, P.K., Cabal, K.L. (2012). "Guide to Cone Penetration Testing for Geotechnical Engineering," Signal Hill, CA. Schmertmann, J.H., (1970). "Static Cone to Compute Static Settlement over Sand," *Journal of the Soil Mechanics and Foundations Division*, 96(SM3), 1011-1043.

### UNIFIED SOIL CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM

# 1[erracon **GeoReport**

		S	Soil Classification					
Criteria for Assign	ing Group Symbols	and Group Names	Using Laboratory	Fests A	Group Symbol	Group Name <sup>B</sup>		
		Clean Gravels:	$Cu \geq 4$ and $1 \leq Cc \leq 3$ $^{\hbox{\scriptsize E}}$	and 1 ≤ Cc ≤ 3 <sup>E</sup>		Well-graded gravel F		
	<b>Gravels:</b> More than 50% of	Less than 5% fines <sup>C</sup>	Cu < 4 and/or [Cc<1 or C	c>3.0] <mark></mark>	GP	Poorly graded gravel F		
	coarse fraction	Gravels with Fines:	Fines classify as ML or N	/H	GM	Silty gravel <sup>F, G, H</sup>		
Coarse-Grained Soils:		More than 12% fines <sup>C</sup>	Fines classify as CL or C	Ή	GC	Clayey gravel <sup>F, G, H</sup>		
on No. 200 sieve		Clean Sands:	$Cu \ge 6$ and $1 \le Cc \le 3^{E}$		SW	Well-graded sand		
	Sands:	Less than 5% fines <sup>D</sup>	Cu < 6 and/or [Cc<1 or C	C>3.0] <mark>■</mark>	SP	Poorly graded sand		
	fraction passes No. 4	Sands with Fines:	Fines classify as ML or MH		SM	Silty sand G, H, I		
	sieve	More than 12% fines <sup>D</sup>	Fines classify as CL or C	Ή	SC	Clayey sand <sup>G, H, I</sup>		
		Inorgania	PI > 7 and plots on or above "A"		CL	Lean clay <sup>K, L, M</sup>		
	Silts and Clays:	inorganic:	PI < 4 or plots below "A"	line <mark>J</mark>	ML	Silt K, L, M		
	Liquid limit less than 50	Organic:	Liquid limit - oven dried	< 0.75		Organic clay K, L, M, N		
Fine-Grained Soils:		Organic.	Liquid limit - not dried	< 0.75	UL	Organic silt K, L, M, O		
No. 200 sieve		Inorganic:	PI plots on or above "A"	line	СН	Fat clay <sup>K, L, M</sup>		
	Silts and Clays:	norganic.	PI plots below "A" line		MH	Elastic Silt K, L, M		
	Liquid limit 50 or more	Organic:	Liquid limit - oven dried	< 0.75	ОН	Organic clay K, L, M, P		
		Organic.	Liquid limit - not dried	< 0.75	011	Organic silt K, L, M, Q		
Highly organic soils:         Primarily organic matter, dark in color, and organic odor						T Peat		
A Based on the material pa	anic fines"	to group name.						

material passing the 3-inch (75-mm)

<sup>B</sup> If field sample contained cobbles or boulders, or both, add "with cobbles or boulders, or both" to group name.

- <sup>c</sup> Gravels with 5 to 12% fines require dual symbols: GW-GM well-graded gravel with silt, GW-GC well-graded gravel with clay, GP-GM poorly graded gravel with silt, GP-GC poorly graded gravel with clay.
- <sup>D</sup> Sands with 5 to 12% fines require dual symbols: SW-SM well-graded sand with silt, SW-SC well-graded sand with clay, SP-SM poorly graded sand with silt, SP-SC poorly graded sand with clay.

$$E Cu = D_{60}/D_{10}$$
  $Cc = \frac{(D_{30})^2}{D_{10} \times D_{60}}$ 

- **F** If soil contains  $\geq$  15% sand, add "with sand" to group name.
- <sup>G</sup> If fines classify as CL-ML, use dual symbol GC-GM, or SC-SM.

- nic, add "with organic fines" to group name.
- If soil contains  $\geq$  15% gravel, add "with gravel" to group name.
- J If Atterberg limits plot in shaded area, soil is a CL-ML, silty clay.
- K If soil contains 15 to 29% plus No. 200, add "with sand" or "with gravel," whichever is predominant.
- L If soil contains ≥ 30% plus No. 200 predominantly sand, add "sandy" to group name.
- <sup>M</sup>If soil contains ≥ 30% plus No. 200, predominantly gravel, add "gravelly" to group name.
- $\mathbb{N}$  PI  $\geq$  4 and plots on or above "A" line.
- PI < 4 or plots below "A" line.
- P I plots on or above "A" line.
- QPI plots below "A" line.



